

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	→ FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/646,992	09/25/2000	Takeshi Ikegami	IJK/117	2587
26689	7590 06/05/2002			
WILDMAN, HARROLD, ALLEN & DIXON			EXAMINER	
	225 WEST WACKER DRIVE CHICAGO, IL 60606		COLON, GERMAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2879	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/05/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
" Office Action Summany	09/646,992	IKEGAMI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	German Colon	2879				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c Application Papers	or election requirement.					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 September 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in	Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	·					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	• •					
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5 	5) Notice o	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/646,992

Ait Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description:

On the disclosure, page 14, line 7, there is a reference to "steps 15 and 16" in both Figs. 1 and 2. The numerals representing these steps are shown in Fig. 1 but not in Fig. 2 as stated in the specification. On the other hand, there are steps 25 and 26 shown in Fig.

2, but the description fails to mention them. Same situation is found on page 16, line 6.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

- 4. On page 3, line 7, a reference to a "back side opening 73" in Fig. 8 is made.

 Through the disclosure, reference 73 points out to an electron beam, while in Fig. 8 the back side opening is identified with numeral 71.
- 5. There is a typo on page 4, line 5, as it mentions an "outer side of he Braun tube" instead of "the Braun tube".
- On page 13, line 17, page 21, line 1 and on page 22, line 4, a "shadow mask 1" is referred to. Nevertheless, on page 1, line 15 and in Fig. 6, the shadow mask is represented by numeral 61.
- 7. Reference to "electron beam 8" is found on page 12, line 16. Although an electron beam 9 is identified in the diagrams.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueda (U.S. 5,856,725) in view of Simpson et al. (U.S. 5,730,887). Ueda discloses a shadow mask 18 for a Braun tube having a plurality of slots 26 having substantially a rectangular shape, where the slots include a slot having substantially a rectangular shape and formed at a portion near an axis

Application/Control Number: 09/646,992

Art Unit: 2879

of ordinate V passing a central portion of the shadow mask. The rectangular slot is composed of a back side opening 26S, a front side opening 26L, formed through an etching process (see Col. 1 line 62), and side wall sections inclining between the back side opening and the front side opening (see Fig. 3 and Fig. 5). Ueda also discloses a slot formed on an outer peripheral side, and composed of a back side opening 26S and a front side opening 26L having substantially a rectangular shape. Ueda fails to disclose the peripheral side slots to be curved and that such curving of the back side opening becomes large as it gets apart from the axis of ordinate.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Simpson discloses a shadow mask 25 for a Braun tube and a dot screen, having a plurality of slots, where curve slots 43 are formed on an outer peripheral side, composed of a back side circular opening 44, a front side elliptical opening 45, and side wall sections inclining between the back and front side openings (see Fig. 6 and 12). Furthermore, in the exterior portion 38 of the mask the openings 45 extend radially outwardly from the central portion 36. Simpson teaches that the techniques of forming substantially elliptical openings in the exterior portion of one surface of the mask and corresponding circular openings on the other surface of the mask may be employed to form polygonal and rectangular openings (see Col. 7, lines50-57) in order to increase the clearance for electron beams passing through the apertures and to reduce the amount of mask material that would have to be removed to produced the desirable shape on the beam spot, inherently making the mask stronger (see Col. 4, lines 10-11 and lines 21-28).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to anyone of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Simpson's teaching to modify the shadow mask of Ueda, curving the rectangular slots on the peripheral side of the mask, since Simpson teaches that the technique

Art Unit: 2879

increases the clearance for electron beams passing through the apertures and reduces the amount of mask material that would have to be removed in order to produced the desirable shape on the beam spot, inherently making the mask stronger.

- 10. Regarding claim 3, the combination Ueda-Simpson discloses the claimed invention except for the limitation of "the curved slot has an angle of less than 10°". It has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an angle of less than 10°, since optimization of workable ranges is considered within the skill of the art.
- 11. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueda in view of Simpson as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Osamu et al. (JP 01320738). Ueda-Simpson fails to disclose the etch surface on the front side opening side having a depth gradually reduced towards both longitudinal end portions and an etch surface on the back side opening side having a depth gradually increased.

Osamu discloses an etch surface on the front side opening side having a depth gradually reduced towards both longitudinal end portions and an etch surface on the back side opening side having a depth gradually increased (see Figs. 1-3) in order to secure the width of the required electron beams, so the shape of the beam spot becomes a symmetrical preferable one. It would have been obvious to anyone of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modified Ueda slot by the teachings of Osamu, since Osamu teaches that with the technique, the Application/Control Number: 09/646,992

Art Unit: 2879

width of the required electron beams can be secured, so the shape of the beam spot becomes a

symmetrical preferable one.

Prior Art of Record

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Ohtake et al., in U.S. Patent No. 5,280,215, discloses a shadow mask with bulging

portions extending from the corners of the slot.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to German Colon whose telephone number is 703-305-5987. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on 703-305-4794. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7382 for regular

communications and 703-308-7382 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 70\beta-308-0956.

NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

May 24, 2002